REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The rejection presented in the Office Action dated July 5, 2007 (hereinafter Office Action) has been considered. Reconsideration of the pending claims and allowance of the application in view of the present response is respectfully requested.

Applicant respectfully traverses the § 102(e) rejection based upon the teachings of U.S. Patent No. 6,934,756 to Maes (hereinafter "Maes") because Maes does not teach or suggest each of the claimed limitations. However, in order to facilitate prosecution of the application and in a *bona fide* attempt to advance the application to allowance, the Applicants present this response with amendment to clarify particular aspects of the claimed invention. These amendments make more clear what is believed to have been originally set forth in these claims, but now states so more specifically. For example, Claim 1 has been amended to set forth that a Web service message is targeted for a mobile terminal that is not Internet addressable. A request is sent via the Internet to a locator arrangement to assist in processing the Web service message. The locator arrangement is capable of identifying and locating mobile devices that are not Internet addressable. The Web service message is sent to the mobile terminal utilizing the locator arrangement.

Applicants respectfully submit that Maes fails to expressly or inherently teach all of the limitations of independent Claims 1, 13, 19, 23, and 28, as originally filed and particularly as amended. For example, Maes has not been shown to teach a locator arrangement, as claimed, including where a request is directed to a locator arrangement to assist in processing a Web services message. The cited portion at columns 28-29 makes no mention of a locator arrangement, any locating functionality, or a request to assist in processing. Instead, the cited portions of Maes discusses a client device as an audio source 1601 directly communicating with a server 1602 to process the audio generated by the client device (Maes, col. 28, lines 52-54) where a separate controller 1603 controls conversational engines at the server 1602. There is no indication that the controller 1603 (or the source 1601 or server 1602) corresponds to the claimed locator arrangement.

In addition, as set forth in Maes, the client hand held device is an audio source which sends the audio to the server engines (e.g., Maes, col. 28, lines 53-54). Thus Maes

fails to expressly or inherently describe a sending Web service message to a mobile terminal. Further, Maes is entirely silent as to a mobile terminal that is not Internet addressable, as set forth in the above-indicated amendments. Therefore, without a presentation of correspondence to each of the claimed limitations, Maes does not anticipate amended independent Claims 1, 13, 19, 23, and 28. Withdrawal of the rejection is therefore respectfully solicited.

Dependent Claims 2-12, 14-18, 20-22, 24-27 and 19-33 depend from independent Claims 1, 13, 19, 23 and 28, respectively, and also stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by Maes. While Applicant does not acquiesce to the particular rejection to these dependent claims, the rejection is now moot for the reasons discussed above in connection with the independent claims. These dependent claims include all of the limitations of their respective independent claims and any intervening claims, and recite additional features which further distinguish them from the cited reference. Dependent Claims 2-12, 14-18, 20-22, 24-27 and 19-33 are allowable over Maes. Applicant accordingly requests that the § 102(e) rejection be withdrawn.

Authorization is given to charge Deposit Account No. 50-3581 (NOKI.003PA) any necessary fees for this filing. If the Examiner believes it necessary or helpful, the undersigned attorney of record invites the Examiner to contact the undersigned attorney to discuss any issues related to this case.

Respectfully submitted,

HOLLINGSWORTH & FUNK, LLC 8009 34th Avenue South, Suite 125 Minneapolis, MN 55425

952.854.2700

Date: January 4, 2008

William B. Ashley

Reg. No. 51,419